UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,418	08/03/2001	David B. Masters	45795.23.1	8113
	7590 10/31/200 AL PROPERTY GROU	EXAMINER		
FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A.			SULLIVAN, DANIELLE D	
SUITE 4000	200 SOUTH SIXTH STREET SUITE 4000			PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55402		1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		10/31/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/922	2,418	MASTERS, DAVID B.				
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		DANIE	LLE SULLIVAN	1616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn o period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION of event, however, may a reply be discount of will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
_	Despensive to communication(s) file	d on OF August 20	100					
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 August 2008</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	/ <del></del>							
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
D: ''	·	ee under Ex parte	Quayre, 1000 O.D. 11,	+00 O.G. 210.				
· · _	ion of Claims							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-98,133,134 and 136-168</u>	•						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,8-10,18-49,53,57-59,67-98,133,134 and 136-168</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-7,11-17,50-52,54-56 and 60-66</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 August 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
					).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
,—	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).				
α) <sub>1</sub>	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have h	neen received					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ation No				
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>							
		•		ved in this National Stage				
* (	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summa					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 3/21/2008.		5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	гатент Аррисаноп				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, <u> </u>					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-98, 133, 134 and 136-168 are pending. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 11-17, 50-52, 54-56 and 60-66 are currently under examination. Claims 4, 8-10, 18-49, 53, 57-59, 67-98, 133, 134 and 136-168 are withdrawn as being drawn to non-elected invention.

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-17 and 50-66 in the reply filed on 8/05/2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the restriction requirement has no serious burden and a search for the subject matter of any one group would encompass a search for all groups. This is not found persuasive because the device can be made from a materially different method which does not require the step of forming a film such as a method of molding a stent. Additionally, the device of Group I is materially different from the protein matrix because conductive materials are excluded from the device.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

#### Withdrawn rejections

Applicant's amendments and arguments filed 8/05/2008 are acknowledged and have been fully considered. Any rejection and/or objection not specifically addressed below are herein withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim does not end with a period and is therefore indefinite.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 11-17, 50-52, 54-56 and 60-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dinh et al. (US 5,510,077) in view of Greenbach (4,405,311).

## **Applicant's Invention**

Applicant claims a drug delivery device comprising one or more polymers, conductive materials, pharmacologically active agents and solvents which form a cohesive body. The proteins may be selected from fibrinogen and thrombin. The solvents may be selected from water, DMSO, alcohols, acids, oils or glycols which are biocompatible. The polymer is selected from silicones, polyurethane and polylactic

acid. The conductive materials are selected from gold, silver, aluminum and copper. A crosslinking agent selected from glutaraldehyde maybe added.

## Determination of the scope and the content of the prior art (MPEP 2141.01)

Dinh et al. teaches a stent comprising fibrin that is molded by compression for implantation in a human (abstract; column 3, lines 1-3). The fibrin is generated by crosslinking action of thrombin on fibrinogen (column 3, lines 59-67). The shape is provided by molding. The stent is made of a porous polymeric sheet into which fibrin is incorporated by applying by a solvent water (column 5, lines 34-64). Drugs may be incorporated into the stent and include anticoagulants, anti-inflammatory agents (column 6, lines 4-13). Glutaraldehyde may be added to increase stability as a fixing agent (crosslinking agent) (column 4, lines 54-56).

# Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP 2141.02)

Dinh et al. does not teach a conductive material. It is for this reason that Greenbach is joined.

Greenbach teaches a method for treating arthritis by injection of electrically charged gold ions by a source of a direct current (abstract). The gold alloy may additionally contain palladium, platinum and zinc (column 3, lines 5-13). The apparatus is housed in a reaction-free material that is implantable in the body of a human (column 2, lines 26-29). Gold is administered as an alloy for the treatment of arthritis (column 1, lines 7-10).

### Finding of prima facie obviousness

## Rationale and Motivation (MPEP 2142-2143)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Dinh et al. and Greenbach to further include a conductive material, specifically gold alloy. One would have been motivated to include gold alloy because Greenbach teaches that gold is a pharmacologically active ingredient used for the treatment of arthritis. Therefore, one would have been motivated to use gold as a conductive material in the drug delivery device taught by Dinh et al. because Greenbach teaches that gold can be housed in a reaction free material implantable into a human.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Urist (US 4,596,574) and Folkman et al. (US 5,019,372) teach protein delivery systems which contain ceramic or colloidal materials, respectively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danielle Sullivan whose telephone number is (571) 270-3285. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM Mon-Thur EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571) 272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,418 Page 6

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Danielle Sullivan Patent Examiner Art Unit 1616

> /Johann R. Richter/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616